

KENAI PENINSULA BOROUGH
ROAD BOARD MEETING
April 8, 2008

Agenda Item 0.3
Committee Lands
Page Number 85

UNAPPROVED

Wille suggested there be in place an exception process that determines if a road/driveway can be built not to standards in ROW. Mr. Bloom indicated these ROW's are held by the Borough for the public trust. ROW permit is required for any work to be done in the ROW. Permit conditions can be attached to the ROW permit even if they don't require building to road standards.

Inspector Koester asked what the hammer is if we catch them not building to permit requirements or burying debris in the ROW. Chairman Wille is concerned that if there is future building in this subdivision then who is going to pay to upgrade this road to roads standards.

Chairman McLane requests that RSA staff come up with an outline of guidelines to help determine if a driveway vs. a road should be constructed in a ROW. All RSA Board Members can email their concerns or input to Angie to include in the outline.



H.2. Information Items

H.2.A. Ordinance 2008-05 – KPB Title 14, Road Standards

This ordinance is a substitute to the Road Standards that the KPB Assembly is looking at. This ordinance includes all the amendments the RSA Board has requested. Director Davis stated that some of the Assembly members at their April 1, 2008 meeting had questioned the Category II road cap. They interpreted it as crushed material, which it is not. They were confused on depth requirements. They questioned why more material was needed on roads. They are concerned about the cost to developers. The Assembly also questioned the warranty section. They want evidence on why the existing road standards and policies are not adequate. Director Davis is going to be developing testimony giving the rationale for why the standards need to be revised.

Scott Bloom stated comments have been made that we should not require financial guarantee and instead a warranty. A warranty is meaningless with out a financial guarantee behind it. Suggestions were made that we could do away with a warranty and instead do three years of temporary maintenance. This suggestion would not work because if you stop maintaining a road after three years it would be difficult for residents to understand.

Mr. Bloom asked if on Category IV roads if we currently require 6" of crushed gravel. Director Davis stated yes, there have been no amendments made to change the required 6" of crushed gravel. Mr. Bloom stated one of the Assembly members questioned if there was enough mechanically crushed gravel even available.

Board Member Wille clarified that testifiers at the last Assembly meeting mislead some of the Assembly members into thinking the 6" of topping material was D-1. The 6" of topping is not D-1 material which is mechanically crushed gravel. 2" minus gravel is just screened gravel; not

mechanically crushed. Mr. Bloom asked if only on Category I & II roads we are requesting an additional 6" of Type II as opposed to pit run. Director Davis stated the top 6" of material will be Type II screened gravel.

Chairman McLane clarified there is a name change from Grading C material to Type III. Board Member Schaefer stated D-I material is a 1" minus. This is required on paved roads. Board Member McLane stated according to the existing road standards, Grading C has to be 1" minus. The new standards are more lenient specification. It's 100% on 2" and 100-95% on 1", which allows for little bit of oversize. There are no proposed amendments to Category IV roads.

Director Davis indicated we should indicate in the typical drawing that the details indicated standards for when geotextile is used. Chairman McLane agreed and indicated we can also reference the differences on the drawing.

Board Member Wille suggested a power point showing all the suggested changes be shown to the Assembly. Mr. Bloom agreed and thought it would be appropriate to show it at a committee meeting.

Chairman McLane stated there is an error on the Embankment Material Table under the Sieve Designation, No. 200, Type I, should be 0-6.

H.2.B. Ordinance 2008-03 Waterbody Crossings

This Ordinance passed at the April 1, 2008 KPB Assembly meeting. Comments and recommendations from the March 17, 2008 work session were taken into consideration and amendments were made.

H.2.C. Financial Report

There is a balance remaining of \$472,831 as of April 2, 2008. This figure does not reflect the number of unpaid invoices. The initial maintenance budget of \$2.3 million is used to open purchase orders to each contractor. Approximately \$400,000 is not all allocated to the purchase orders in the beginning of the fiscal year because we try to budget for minor road repairs in the spring. With the weather this year causing road damage, we have to do minor road repairs to get people to their homes. Currently, the RSA staff is doing change orders to transfer money available between purchase orders to cover the costs of unexpected work to be called out. Director Davis is recommending the KPB Mayor authorize an Ordinance for Supplemental Funding of \$250,000 be transferred from RSA Fund Balance. Board Member Blakeley would like to be more informed of the RSA budget and requests the RSA staff give the RSA Board updates of when change orders are requested with notations. Chairman McLane requests a footnote describing why the budget in one area has gone up would be beneficial.

I. PUBLIC PRESENTATION WITHOUT PRIOR NOTICE – LIMIT 5 MINUTES PER PERSON

Gregory Debnam, 35678 Fern Forest, Soldotna, AK 99669 He has lived there for 3 years. There is a large puddle with a false bottom on their that has become a hazard for