Total

\$

1,200.00

Lender or Client: Central Peninsula General Hospital, Inc 250 Hospital Place Soldotna, AK 99669

APPRAISAL FEE FOR SERVICES RENDERED

Client: Central Peninsula General Hospital 47480 Kristina Way Kenai, AK 99635

Sales Tax - 3%

15.00

	Total:	\$ 1,215.00
Thank you		

		- Summary Apprais		
Unifo	rm Residential A	ppraisal Rep	ort Fil	le# 08131
The purpose of this summary appraisal report is to pr	rovide the lender/client with an acc	curate, and adequately supp	ported, opinion of the mar	ket value of the subject property.
Property Address 47480 Kristina Way		City Kenai	State AK	Zip Code99635
Borrower Central Peninsula General Hospita		bberd	CountyKena	ai Peninsula Bor.
Legal Description SW1/4, SE1/4, Sec 23, T Assessor's Parcel # 55-032-58	IUSN, KIIW, SB&M	Tax Year 2007	R.E. Taxes \$ (5371 +/_
Neighborhood Name Ciechanski Road		Map Reference N/A	Census Tract	
Occupant X Owner Tenant Vacant	Special Assessments N/A			per year per month
Property Rights Appraised X Fee Simple	Leasehold Other (describe)		
		describe)		
Lender/Client Central Peninsula General	· ·	* ·		N? Yes No
Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and da				
	e for the subject purchase transaction	on. Explain the results of the	e analysis of the contract fo	or sale or why the analysis was not
performed. N/A				
Contract Price 645.000 Date of Contract $03/26/$	$\sqrt{08}$ Is the property seller the or	wner of public record? $ig X$	Yes No Data So	urce(s) Tax Records
Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale of		ssistance, etc.) to be paid t	by any party on behalf of	
If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the	e items to be paid: $N\!/A$			
Note: Race and the racial composition of	f the neighborhood are not	appraisal factors.		
Neighborhood Characteristics	One-Unit Hou		One-Unit Hous	sing Percent Land Use %
Location Urban X Suburban Rural	Property Values Increasing	X Stable Declini	ing PRICE A	AGE One-Unit 65.0 %
	6 Demand/Supply Shortage	X InBalance OverSu		(yrs) 2-4 Unit 3.0 %
Growth Rapid X Stable Slow	Marketing Time Under 3 mths	X 3-6 mths Over 6	mths 150 Low 800 High	New Multi-Family % 35 Commercial 2.0 %
See Additional V	comments		200-400Pred.	5-15 Other Vac 30 %
Neighborhood Description *** See Additional	Comments ***			
Madet Oradition (including owned for the shore of	*** Coo A dd:	tional Comments **	**	
Market Conditions (including support for the above c	conclusions) The See Addi	tional Comments *	••	
Dimensions See attached map	Area 40 Ac.	+/- Shape	Square v	/iew Avg - Nbhd
Specific Zoning ClassificationUnzoned	Zoning Description			
Zoning Compliance Legal Legal Nonc Is the highest and best use of the subject property as improv	conforming (Grandfathered Use)	•,	legal (describe)	dosoriho
	ved (of as proposed per plans and spec			describe
Utilities Public Other (describe)	Public Other (describe) O	ff-site Improvement	sTypePublic Private
Electricity X	Water X W	vell St	reet Gravel	sTypePublic Private
Electricity X	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Se	Vell Sti	reet Gravel ley None	
Electricity X	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Se No FEMA Flood Zone D	Vell Streptic All FEMA Map No. 2045	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375	
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes	Vell Str eptic All FEMA Map No. 2045 s No. If No, described	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375	
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the second sec	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes	Vell Str eptic All FEMA Map No. 2045 s No. If No, described	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375	X FEMA Map Date 05-19-81
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Xre the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes	Vell Str eptic All FEMA Map No. 2045 s No. If No, described	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375	X FEMA Map Date 05-19-81
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the three any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments ***	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Se No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, en	Vell Str eptic All FEMA Map No. 2045 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes	X FEMA Map Date()5-19-81 X No If Yes, describe
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the three any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes	7ell Strength eptic All FEMA Map No. 2045 S No. If No, describe Noi find the strength vironmental conditions, land Strength Exterior Description Strength	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In	X FEMA Map Date()5-19-81 X No If Yes, describe
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the three any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit # of Stories 2 X Full	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, en Foundation	7ell Strength eptic All FEMA Map No. 2045 S No. If No, describe Noi find the strength vironmental conditions, land Strength Exterior Description Strength	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fl	X FEMA Map Date()5-19-81 X No If Yes, describe
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the typi	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Se No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes Yes tors (easements, encroachments,	rell Streptic FEMA Map No.2044 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foat Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes [] materials/condition In m Block Fi W Shingle Tr	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Interior materials/condition loors Cpt,Tile,Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the typical for typical for the typical for typical for the t	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encrete Slab Crawl Space Basement Partial Basement nt Area 1,734 sq. ft. 100 %	7ell Streptic FEMA Map No. 2044 All FEMA Map No. 2044 Streption s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fi w Shingle Tr Yes Bi	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt,Tile,Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the typical for typical for the typical for typical for typical for typical for the typical for the typical f	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encrete Slab Crawl Space I Basement Partial Basement nt Area 1,734 sq. ft. nt Finish 100 % tside Entry/Exit Sump Pump	rell Strength septic All FEMA Map No. 2045 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foan Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp for Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Vinyl (Comp for Surface) Vinyl (Comp for Surface)	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fil W Shingle Tr Yes Bi Clad Bi	X FEMA Map Date()5-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt, Tile, Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ath Wainscot Tile/Good
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the typical for typical for the typical for the typical for the typical for the typical for typical fore	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encrete Slab Crawl Space I Basement Partial Basement nt Area 1,734 sq. ft. nt Finish 100 % tside Entry/Exit Sump Pump	rell Strength septic All FEMA Map No. 2045 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foan Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp for Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Vinyl (Comp for Surface) Vinyl (Comp for Surface)	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fil Shingle Tri Yes Bi Clad Bi Thermopane C	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt,Tile,Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the typical for typical for the typical for the typical for the typical for the typical for typical fore	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes cors (easements, encroachments, encr	rell Streeptic FEMA Map No.2045 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp 1 Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Window Type Vinyl C Screens Yes Amenities Image: Streem street	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fi w Shingle Ti Yes Ba Clad Ba Thermopane C	X FEMA Map Date()5-19-81 X No If Yes, describe terior materials/condition loors Cpt, Tile, Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ath Wordge None
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the typical for typical for typical for the typical for typical for the typical for the typical for typical for the typical for the typical for the typical for typical for the typical for typical for the typical for typical for the typical for typical for the	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D he market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encrete Slab Crawl Space Basement Partial Basement It Area 1,734 sq. ft. nt Finish 100 % iside Entry/Exit Sump Pump e of Infestation mpness Settlement FWA HWBB X Radiant It Area	rell Streeptic All FEMA Map No. 2044 FEMA Map No. 2044 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp 10 Gutters & Downspouts Vinyl C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities Image: Storm Sash/Insulated X Fireplace(s) #	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes waterials/condition In m Block Fi w Shingle Tr Yes Bi Clad Bi Thermopane C WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2	X FEMA Map Date()5-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt, Tile, Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ath Wainscot Tile/Good ar Storage None X Driveway # of Cars A Garage # of Cars X Garage # of Cars
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the typical for typical for the typical for the typical for the typical for the typical for typical for typical for the typical for the typical for typical fore	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D he market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encrochments, encroachments, encroachments, encr	rell Strength FEMA Map No. 2044 Strength FEMA Map No. 2044 Strength s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Strength Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp to the comp tot the comp to the comp tot	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes 2 week, etc.)? Yes 2 Yes 2 Shingle 7 Yes 8 Clad 8 Thermopane C WoodStove(s)# 0 Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 5	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt, Tile, Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ath Wainscot Tile/Good ar Storage None X Driveway # of Cars 6 riveway Surface Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the typical for typical for the typical for the typical for the typical for the typical for typi	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D he market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, enc	rell Stringenergy reptic All FEMA Map No. 2044 FEMA Map No. 2044 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land No. If No, describe Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp of Surface Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Window Type Vinyl (C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities I X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool I	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes waterials/condition In m Block Fill WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Other HotTub 2	X FEMA Map Date()5-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt, Tile, Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ath Wainscot Tile/Good ar Storage None X Driveway # of Cars A Garage # of Cars X Garage # of Cars
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X Units X One One with Accessory Unit Corr # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer X Existing Proposed Under Const. Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/Bsm X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dar Attic None Heating Drop Stair Stairs Oth Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D he market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, enc	rell Strength FEMA Map No. 2045 All FEMA Map No. 2045 FEMA Map No. 2045 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Vindow Type Window Type Vinyl C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities Image: Amenities X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/Deck Patio Pool Image: Amenities ave Washer/Dryer	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes week, etc.)? Yes week materials/condition In m Block File Shingle Thermopane Clad Bi Clad Bi Clad Bi Clad Bi Chermopane C VoodStove(s)# D Fence X Porch Cvd A Other (describe)	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt, Tile, Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ath Wainscot Tile/Good ar Storage None X Driveway # of Cars 6 riveway Surface Garage # of Cars Carport # of Cars
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X Units X One One with Accessory Unit Corr # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer X Existing Proposed Under Const. Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/Bsm X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dar Attic None Heating Drop Stair Stairs Oth Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encrohments, encroachment, encroachments, encroac	rell Strength FEMA Map No. 2044 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Vinyl C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Yes Amenities Image: Amenities X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool Image: Washer/Dryer 4.50 Bath(s) 3,3	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes week, etc.)? Yes week materials/condition In m Block File Shingle Thermopane Clad Bi Clad Bi Clad Bi Clad Bi Chermopane C VoodStove(s)# D Fence X Porch Cvd A Other (describe)	X FEMA Map Date()5-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Attriangle in the image of the image o
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One One One with Accessory Unit Core # of Stories 2 X Type X Det Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer X Existing Proposed Under Const. Design (Style) 2 Story/BSm X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dare Attic None Heating Drop Stair Stairs Oth Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Drip Stair Stairs Oth Stairs Attic	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encrohments, encroachment, encroachments, encroac	rell Stress FEMA Map No. 2044 All FEMA Map No. 2044 Stress s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land No. If No, describe Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Description Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp 1 Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Window Type Vinyl (C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities I X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/Deck Patio Pool I ave Washer/Dryer 4.50 3,3 Comments ***	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes week, etc.)? Yes Yes Week fill for the	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Attrianal Strate You Surface Gravel X Driveway Surface Gravel X Garage You Surface Gravel X Garage X Det. Built-in Stiving Area Above Grade
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact **** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X Units X One One with Accessory Unit Corr # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer X Existing Proposed Under Const Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/BSM X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dar Attic None Heating Drop Stair Stairs Oth Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Funct Special energy efficient items, e Describe the condition of the property (including need)	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encrotes Basement Partial Basement ncrete Slab Crawl Space Basement Partial Basement nt Area 1,734 sq. ft. 100 % tside Entry/Exit Sump Pump Settlement FWA HWBB Radiant er Fuel Gas Central Air Conditioning Other ishwasher X Disposal X Microw Rooms 4 eded repairs, deterioration, renovati	rell Streem FEMA Map No. 2044 Streem FEMA Map No. 2044 Streem s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp 10 Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Window Type Vinyl (C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities Image: Street Patio Amenities Image: Street Patio Pool Image: Street Patio Attro/DeckPatio Image: Street Patio Attro/DeckPatio Image: Street Patio Attro/DeckPatio Image: Street Patio Attro/Deck Patio Image: Street Patio Attro/Deck Patio Image: Street Patio Attro Image: Street Patio Attro Image: Street Patio Attro Image: Street Patio Ons, remodeling, etc.) Tage: Street Patio	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes waterials/condition In m Block Fi WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Other HotTub 2 Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Attriangle Attriangle <tr< td=""></tr<>
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One Units X One One with Accessory Unit Core # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/BSm X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dare Attic None Heating Drop Stair Stairs Oth Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Describe the condition of the property (including need in 2000/2001. Condition appears good	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encrobachments, encroachments, encroachments, en	rell Strength FEMA Map No. 2044 All FEMA Map No. 2044 Strength s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Vindow Type Window Type Vinyl O Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities Image: Screens X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool Image: Screens 4.50 3,3 Comments *** Ons, remodeling, etc.). Ta at appears good wit Ta	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes waterials/condition In m Block Fi WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Other HotTub 2 Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Attriangle Attriangle <tr< td=""></tr<>
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact **** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X Units X One One with Accessory Unit Corr # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer X Existing Proposed Under Const Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/BSM X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dar Attic None Heating Drop Stair Stairs Oth Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Funct Special energy efficient items, e Describe the condition of the property (including need)	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encrobachments, encroachments, encroachments, en	rell Strength FEMA Map No. 2044 All FEMA Map No. 2044 Strength s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Vindow Type Window Type Vinyl O Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities Image: Screens X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool Image: Screens 4.50 3,3 Comments *** Ons, remodeling, etc.). Ta at appears good wit Ta	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes waterials/condition In m Block Fi WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Other HotTub 2 Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Attriangle Attriangle <tr< td=""></tr<>
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One Units X One One with Accessory Unit Core # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/BSm X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dare Attic None Heating Drop Stair Stairs Oth Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Describe the condition of the property (including need in 2000/2001. Condition appears good	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Set No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encrobachments, encroachments, encroachments, en	rell Strength FEMA Map No. 2044 All FEMA Map No. 2044 Strength s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Vindow Type Window Type Vinyl O Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities Image: Screens X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool Image: Screens 4.50 3,3 Comments *** Ons, remodeling, etc.). Ta at appears good wit Ta	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes waterials/condition In m Block Fi WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Porch Cvd 2 Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt, Tile, Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ath Wainscot Tile/Good ar Storage None X Driveway # of Cars X Garage # of Cars X Garage # of Cars X Att. Det. Built-in B Living Area Above Grade E e the dwelling was built bbsolescence noted. No
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for the Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One Units X One One with Accessory Unit Core # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det. Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/BSm X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dare Attic None Heating Drop Stair Stairs Oth Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Describe the condition of the property (including need in 2000/2001. Condition appears good	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachment,	rell Streeptic FEMA Map No. 2044 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foan Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Vinyl C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Yes Amenities Image: Amenities X Fireplace(s) # Image: Amenities X Patio/DeckPatio Image: Amenities Ave Washer/Dryer 4.50 4.50 Bath(s) 3,3 Comments *** ons, remodeling, etc.). Ta at appears good wit today.	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fi WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Other HotTub 2 Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross ax records indicated th no functional o	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt,Tile,Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ar Storage None X Driveway # of Cars A Driveway # of Cars X Garage # of Cars X Att. Det. Built-in B Living Area Above Grade E e the dwelling was built bsolescence noted. No
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for th Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit Corr # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer Design (Style) 2 Storiy/Bsm X Existing Proposed Under Const. Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/Bsm X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dar Attic None Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Di Finished Heated Indi Appliances <	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachment,	rell Streeptic FEMA Map No. 2044 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foan Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Vinyl C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Yes Amenities Image: Pool X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool 3,3 Comments *** ons, remodeling, etc.). Ta at appears good wit today.	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fi WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Other HotTub 2 Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross ax records indicated th no functional o	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt, Tile, Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ath Wainscot Tile/Good ar Storage None X Driveway # of Cars X Garage # of Cars X Garage # of Cars X Att. Det. Built-in B Living Area Above Grade E e the dwelling was built bbsolescence noted. No
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for th Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit Corr # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer Design (Style) 2 Storiy/Bsm X Existing Proposed Under Const. Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/Bsm X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dar Attic None Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Di Finished Heated Indi Appliances <	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachment,	rell Streeptic FEMA Map No. 2044 s No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Exterior Description Foundation Walls Foan Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Vinyl C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Yes Amenities Image: Pool X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool 3,3 Comments *** ons, remodeling, etc.). Ta at appears good wit today.	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fi WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Other HotTub 2 Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross ax records indicated th no functional o	X FEMA Map Date05-19-81 X No If Yes, describe Iterior materials/condition loors Cpt, Tile, Vnl/Gd /alls SR/Good rim/Finish Wood/Good ath Floor Tile/Good ath Wainscot Tile/Good ar Storage None X Driveway # of Cars X Garage # of Cars X Garage # of Cars X Att. Det. Built-in B Living Area Above Grade E e the dwelling was built bbsolescence noted. No
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for th Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit Corr # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer Design (Style) 2 Storiy/Bsm X Existing Proposed Under Const. Basemer Design (Style) 2 Story/Bsm X Out Year Built 2000/01 Evidence Effective Age (Yrs) 3 - 5 Dar Attic None Heated Indi Appliances Refrigerator X Range/Oven X Di Finished Heated Indi Appliances <	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment	7ell St FEMA Map No.2045 All FEMA Map No.2045 S No. If No, describe No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Sterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Description Foar Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Window Type Vinyl (C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities Image: Amenities X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool 3,3 Comments *** ons, remodeling, etc.). Ta aut appears good wit today. hess, or structural integrity of Image: Amenitic structural integrity of	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fi Muschart Scondition In m Block Fi WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 WoodStove(s)# D Fence 2 X Porch Cvd 2 X Other HotTub 2 Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross ax records indicated th no functional o	X
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for th Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit Cor X # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer X Existing Proposed Under Const Design (Style) 2 Story/Bsm X Attic None Heating Drop Stair Drop Stair Stairs Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven X Discribe the condition of the property (including needing 2000/2001. Condition appears good external or economic obsolescence external or economic obsolescen	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment	7ell St FEMA Map No.2045 All FEMA Map No.2045 S No. If No, describe No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Sterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Description Foar Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Window Type Vinyl (C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities Image: Amenities X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool 3,3 Comments *** ons, remodeling, etc.). Ta aut appears good wit today. hess, or structural integrity of Image: Amenitic structural integrity of	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fi materials/condition In m Block Fi Shingle Th Yes Bi Clad Bi Thermopane C Q WoodStove(s)# D Fence Z X Porch Cvd K Other HotTub Q Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross ax records indicate th no functional o of the property? Yes	X
Electricity X Gas X FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area Yes X Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for th Are there any adverse site conditions or external fact *** See Additional Comments *** General Description Units X One One with Accessory Unit Cor X # of Stories 2 X Full Type X Det Att. S-Det/End Unit Basemer X Existing Proposed Under Const Design (Style) 2 Story/Bsm X Attic None Heating Drop Stair Drop Stair Stairs Floor X Scuttle Cooling Finished Heated Appliances Refrigerator Range/Oven X Discribe the condition of the property (including needing 2000/2001. Condition appears good external or economic obsolescence external or economic obsolescen	Water X W Sanitary Sewer X Sc No FEMA Flood Zone D ne market area? X Yes tors (easements, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachments, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment, encroachment	7ell St FEMA Map No.2045 All FEMA Map No.2045 S No. If No, describe No. If No, describe vironmental conditions, land Sterior Description Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Description Foar Foundation Walls Foar Exterior Walls Cedar Roof Surface Comp Gutters & Downspouts Window Type Window Type Vinyl (C Storm Sash/Insulated Screens Screens Yes Amenities Image: Amenities X Fireplace(s) # X Patio/DeckPatio Pool 3,3 Comments *** ons, remodeling, etc.). Ta aut appears good wit today. hess, or structural integrity of Image: Amenitic structural integrity of	reet Gravel ley None 5 of 6375 uses, etc.)? Yes materials/condition In m Block Fi m Block Shingle Ti Yes Ba Clad Ba Thermopane C VoodStove(s)# D Fence Z WoodStove(s)# D Fence Z N Porch Cvd Fence X Other HotTub Z Other (describe) 84 Square Feet of Gross ax records indicate th no functional o of the property? Yes struction, etc.)? X Yes	X

Complete Appraisal Analysis - Summary Appraisal Report

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report File # 08131

	37/4							37/4			37/4
	There are N/A comp	arable propert	ies currently	offered for sale in the sub	ject neighborhood ra	anging in price fro	om \$	N/A	to \$		N/A .
	There are N/A comp	arable sales ir	n the subject	neighborhood within the	past twelve months	ranging in sale p	rice from	\$ N/A	to \$	6	N/A .
	FEATURE	SUBJ	IECT	COMPARABLE	E SALE # 1	COMF	ARABLE	SALE # 2	COMP	ARABLE	SALE # 3
	Address 47480 k	Kristina V	Vav	47465 Grant Av	e	47586 Gra	nt Ave	ڊ د	36195 Kal	ifornsl	cy Bch
	Kenai	unstinu v	, uy	12/4 South Bend		5/1 South			5/1 Cook I		2
					I DIUII		Denu I			met v	iew
	Proximity to Subject			1 Mile		1 Mile			4.5 Miles		
	Sale Price	\$	645,000	\$	429,000		\$	648,000		\$	520,000
	Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 190	.60 sq. ft.	\$ 148.96 sq. ft.		\$ 188.65 s	sq. ft.		\$ 202.97 s	sq. ft.	
	Data Source(s)			Lender/Insp.		MLS/Files			MLS/Files		
	Verification Source(s)			r·							
	VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCR		DESCRIPTION		DESCRIPT			DECOUDT		
		DESCR			+(-)\$ Adjustment			+(-)\$ Adjustment	DESCRIPT		+(-)\$ Adjustment
	Sale or Financing			CL Pending		CL 11/15/	07		CL 11/05/0)/	
	Concessions			Conv.		Conv.			Cash		
	Date of Sale/Time			03/30/2008		10/25/200	7		09/18/2007	7	
	Location	Good		Good		Good			Good		
	Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Sim		Fee Simple		Fee Simple	_		Fee Simple	<u>د</u>	
				.92 Ac.			0				100.000
	Site	40 Ac.	1 1		+00,000	1.23 Ac.		-75,000			-100,000
	View	Avg - Nl		Avg - Nbhd		Kenai Rive	er		Cook Inlet		
	Design (Style)	2 Story/I	Bsm	2 Story		2 Story			<u>Multi Leve</u>	el	
	Quality of Construction	Good		Good		Good			Inferior		+50,000
	Actual Age	4 Eff.		4 Eff.		1 Eff.		-14,900	16 Eff		+38,400
	Condition	Good		Good		Good			Good		20,100
							D			D	
s	Above Grade	Total Bdr		Total Bdrms. Baths	10.00	Total Bdrms.	-		Total Bdrms.	Baths	10.00
5 A	Room Count	8 4	1.10 0	8 4 2.50			3.00	+7,500		2.50	+10,000
L	Gross Living Area	3,384	sq. ft.	2,880 sq. ft.	+30,200	3,435	sq. ft.	-3,100		sq. ft.	+49,300
E	Basement & Finished	1,734			+109,000			+109,000	998		+44,200
s	Rooms Below Grade	Fin. 4-1-	1	None		None		· · · · ·	Fin. 4-1-1		,
-	Functional Utility	Good		Good	1	Good			Good		
С											
0	Heating/Cooling	Radiant		GFA		Radiant Fl	oor		GHWBB		
M	Energy Efficient Items	Good		Good		Good			Good		
P	Garage/Carport	1059,3G	A	926,3GA	+5,300	955,3GA		+4,200	720,2GA		+13,600
Α	Porch/Patio/Deck	CvdPch,	Dck+	Pch,Dck,PvD+		Dcks,PvD	r++	-10,000	Dcks,PvdI	D.Shd	
R	Appl:	RO,MW	DW+	RO,MW,DW		RO,FH,DV	N		RO,FH,DV	Ń	
	FP:	FP		FP		FP			FP	•	
0		11				11			11		
N	Net Adjustment (Tetel)			X + -	\$ 214 500	X +	7	\$ 17,700	X +		\$ 105 500
	Net Adjustment (Total)				=1.,000			\$ 17,700		-	\$ 105,500
A	Adjusted Sale Price			Net Adj. 50%		Net Adj.	3%		Net Adj.	20%	
P	of Comparables			Gross Adj. 50%	\$ 643,500	Gross Adj.	35%	\$ 665,700	Gross Adj.	59%	\$ 625,500
P	I did did no	ot research the	e sale or trar	sfer history of the subject	t property and comp	arable sales. If	not, expla	ain N/A			
R											
0											
-											
A	My research did	did n	ot rovoal an	nrior calos or transfors o	of the subject proper	ty for the three y		to the offective date	of this appraisa		
A C	My research did		ot reveal any	prior sales or transfers of	of the subject proper	ty for the three y	ears prior	to the effective date	e of this appraisa	l.	
A	Data Source(s) N/	/A	ot reveal any	prior sales or transfers o	of the subject proper	ty for the three y	ears prior	to the effective date	e of this appraisa	l.	
A C	,	/A	-	prior sales or transfers o							
A C	Data Source(s)	/A I did n	-								
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did	/A I did n /A	ot reveal any	prior sales or transfers o	of the comparable sa	les for the prior	year to the	e date of sale of the	comparable sale	9.	on page 3).
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/	/A I did n /A	ot reveal any	prior sales or transfers o	of the comparable sa	lles for the prior	year to the	e date of sale of the	comparable sale	e. or sales	on page 3). BLE SALE#3
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM	A did n A research and	ot reveal any	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL	lles for the prior	year to the and com	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe	/A I did n /A research and	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL N/A	lles for the prior	and com	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe	/A I did n /A research and	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A	lles for the prior	and com CC N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri #2 Cr N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	/A I did n /A r research and r er	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable satisfies history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A	lles for the prior	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	/A i did n /A research and r ar urce(s)	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ubject property ESALE#1	and com CC N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri #2 Cr N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s)	/A i did n /A research and r ar urce(s)	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ubject property ESALE#1	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	/A i did n /A research and r ar urce(s)	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ubject property ESALE#1	and com CC N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	/A i did n /A research and r ar urce(s)	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ubject property ESALE#1	and com CC N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	/A i did n /A research and r ar urce(s)	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ubject property ESALE#1	and com CC N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	/A i did n /A research and r ar urce(s)	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ubject property ESALE#1	and com CC N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	/A i did n /A research and r ar urce(s)	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ubject property ESALE#1	and com CC N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or	/A did n /A r research and r r urce(s) transfer histo	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A ory of the su	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and com	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com CC N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou	/A did n /A r research and r r urce(s) transfer histo	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A ory of the su	prior sales or transfers of the prior sale or trans	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com CC N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or	/A did n /A r research and r r urce(s) transfer histo	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A ory of the su	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and com	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or	/A did n /A r research and r r urce(s) transfer histo	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A ory of the su	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and com	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or	/A did n /A r research and r r urce(s) transfer histo	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A ory of the su	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and com	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or	/A did n /A r research and r r urce(s) transfer histo	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A ory of the su	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and com	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or	/A did n /A r research and r r urce(s) transfer histo	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A ory of the su	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or	/A did n /A r research and r r urce(s) transfer histo	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A ory of the su	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or	/A did n /A r research and r r urce(s) transfer histo	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A N/A ory of the su	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
A C	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or	/A did n /A research and r r urce(s) transfer histo ison Approach	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ory of the su *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and corr ee Additional Co	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	Iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	and com cc N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales	
ACH	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compar Summary of Sales Compar	A did n /A research and r r r urce(s) transfer histo ison Approach ison Approach	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ory of the su *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT bject property and corr ee Additional Co \$ 675,000	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A n/A parable sales N/	iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE #	comparable sale	e. or sales OMPARA	BLE SALE#3
R	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compared Summary of Sales Compared Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale	A did n A research and r r r r transfer histo ison Approach ison Approach alse Comparison	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ory of the su *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and corr ee Additional Co \$ 675,000 ach \$ 675,000	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/	iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE #	comparable sale ort additional pri \$2 Cr N/A N/A N/A N/A	e. or sales OMPARA	BLE SALE#3
R	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compar Summary of Sales Compar	A did n A research and r r r r transfer histo ison Approach ison Approach alse Comparison	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ory of the su *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and corr ee Additional Co \$ 675,000 ach \$ 675,000	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A n/A parable sales N/	iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE #	comparable sale	e. or sales OMPARA	BLE SALE#3
ACH REC	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compared Summary of Sales Compared Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale	A did n A research and r r r r transfer histo ison Approach ison Approach alse Comparison	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ory of the su *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and corr ee Additional Co \$ 675,000 ach \$ 675,000	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A n/A parable sales N/	iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE #	comparable sale	e. or sales OMPARA	BLE SALE#3
R	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compared Summary of Sales Compared Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale	A did n A research and r r r r transfer histo ison Approach ison Approach alse Comparison	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ory of the su *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and corr ee Additional Co \$ 675,000 ach \$ 675,000	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A n/A parable sales N/	iles for the prior y subject property ESALE#1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE #	comparable sale	e. or sales OMPARA	BLE SALE#3
ACH RECONC	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compared Summary of Sales Compared Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale	A did n /A research and r r r urce(s) transfer histo ison Approach ison Approach es Comparison ales Compar	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ory of the su *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and corr ee Additional Co \$ 675,000 ach \$ 675,000	of the comparable sa ifer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A nparable sales N/ comments *** CostApproach(if	iles for the prior y subject property E SALE#1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A S S 3,900	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE #	comparable sale	e. or sales OMPARA	BLE SALE#3
A C H R E C O N	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compar Summary of Sales Compar Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale *** See Addition	A did n A research and r r r r r r r r r r r r r	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A nry of the su *** S *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT bject property and corr ee Additional Co \$ 675,000 ach \$ 675,000 k subject to completion	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A nparable sales N/ Omments *** Omments ***	iles for the prior y subject property E SALE # 1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A S S 3,900 c 53,900 c 53,900 c c 53,900 c c 53,900 c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE#	comparable sale	eloped) \$	BLE SALE #3
ACH RECONC	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compar Summary of Sales Compar Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale	A did n A research and r r r r r r r r r r r r r	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ny of the su *** S *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and corr ee Additional Co s 675,000 ach \$ 675,000 k subject to completion r alterations on the bas	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/ CostApproach(if per plans and spe- is of a hypothetical	iles for the prior y subject property E SALE # 1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A 53,900 e basis c he repair	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE # 	comparable sale	e. or sales OMPARA eloped) \$ improver improver	BLE SALE #3
ACH RECONC	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compar Summary of Sales Compar Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale	A did n A research and r r r r r r r r r r r r r	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ny of the su *** S *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and corr ee Additional Co s 675,000 ach \$ 675,000 k subject to completion r alterations on the bas	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A parable sales N/ CostApproach(if per plans and spe- is of a hypothetical	iles for the prior y subject property E SALE # 1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A 53,900 e basis c he repair	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE # 	comparable sale	e. or sales OMPARA eloped) \$ improver improver	BLE SALE #3
ACH RECONC	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compar Summary of Sales Compar Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale This appraisal is made completed, subject following required inspec C omments***	A did n A research and r r r r r r r r r r r r r	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ory of the su *** S *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and com ee Additional Co s 675,000 ach \$ 675,000 k subject to completion r alterations on the bas rdinary assumption that	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A M/A parable sales N/ CostApproach(if per plans and spe- is of a hypothetical the condition or d	iles for the prior y iubject property E SALE # 1 A (A (A (developed) \$ 7 cifications on the condition that t eficiency does a	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE # DMPARABLE SALE # DM	comparable sale rt additional pri #2 Cri N/A N/A N/A N/A Approach (if development Approach (if development Approa	e. or sales OMPARA eloped) \$ improver improver ied, or [See A	BLE SALE #3
ACH RECONC	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compar Summary of Sales Compar Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale	A did n A research and r r r r r r r r r r r r r	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ory of the su *** S *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and com ee Additional Co s 675,000 ach \$ 675,000 k subject to completion r alterations on the bas rdinary assumption that	of the comparable sa fer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A M/A parable sales N/ CostApproach(if per plans and spe- is of a hypothetical the condition or d	iles for the prior y iubject property E SALE # 1 A (A (A (developed) \$ 7 cifications on the condition that t eficiency does a	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE # DMPARABLE SALE # DM	comparable sale rt additional pri #2 Cri N/A N/A N/A N/A Approach (if development Approach (if development Approa	e. or sales OMPARA eloped) \$ improver improver ied, or [See A	BLE SALE #3
ACH RECONC	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compar Summary of Sales Compar Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale Indicated Value by Sale This appraisal is made completed, subject following required inspec C omments***	A diana did n A research and r r ar urce(s) transfer histo ison Approach ison Approach ales Comparison ales Comparison ales Comparison al Comm X "a to the followi tion based or visual inspect	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ny of the su m Approach ison Appro nents **? ng repairs o n the extrao	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT ubject property and corr ee Additional Co s 675,000 ach \$ 675,000 k subject to completion r alterations on the bas rdinary assumption that a interior and exterior	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A mparable sales N/ Comments *** CostApproach(if per plans and spe- is of a hypothetical the condition or d	iles for the prior y iubject property E SALE # 1	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A S 53,900 e basis c he repair not requir defined	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE #))) Income # of a hypothetical cc s or alterations have re alteration or rep scope of work,	comparable sale ort additional pri #2 Cri N/A N/A N/A N/A Approach (if deve andition that the re been complet air: ***S	e. or sales OMPARA Solution Solu	BLE SALE #3 BLE SA
ACH RECONCILIATI	Data Source(s) N/ My research did Data Source(s) N/ Report the results of the ITEM Date of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Price of Prior Sale/Transfe Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Sou Analysis of prior sale or Summary of Sales Compar Summary of Sales Compar This appraisal is made completed, subject following required inspec C on m ents *** Based on a complete conditions, and appraise	A diana did n A research and r r ar urce(s) transfer histo ison Approach ison Approach ales Comparison ales Comparison ales Comparison al Comm X "a to the followi tion based or visual inspect	ot reveal any d analysis o N/A N/A N/A ny of the su *** S *** S *** S	prior sales or transfers of f the prior sale or trans SUBJECT bject property and corr ee Additional Co \$ 675,000 ach \$ 675,000 s subject to completion r alterations on the bas rdinary assumption that e interior and exterior r) opinion of the mar	of the comparable sa sfer history of the s COMPARABL N/A N/A N/A N/A mparable sales N/ Comments *** CostApproach(if per plans and spe- is of a hypothetical the condition or d	iles for the prior y iubject property E SALE # 1 A A A ideveloped) \$ 7 cifications on the condition that the efficiency does in ubject property, ned, of the re	year to the and com CC N/A N/A N/A N/A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	e date of sale of the parable sales (repo DMPARABLE SALE # DMPARABLE SALE # DMPA	comparable sale ort additional pri #2 Cri N/A N/A N/A N/A Approach (if deve arr: ***S statement of a	e. or sales OMPARA Solution Solu	BLE SALE #3 BLE SA

							File No. ()8131	
			DITIONAL COMP	PARABLES	6			
		eneral Hospital						
	<u>80 Kristina Wa</u>							
City Kenai		County Kenai Pen		State AK	-		Zip Code 99635	
Lender/Client Centra	<u>l Peninsula Ge</u>	eneral Hospital, In	e					
FEATURE	SUBJECT	COMPARABLE	E SALE NO. 4	COMP	ARABLE S	ALE NO. 5	COMPARABLE	SALE NO. 6
47480 Kristina W	ay	48175 Sherrys	Ave.	49885 M	oose Ru	n Road	29910 Lonely S	Street
Address Kenai	-	Tr2 Peaceful A	Acres	L3&4 Ho	onker S/	D	2/1 Leisure Lak	te
Proximity to Subject		8 Miles		14 Miles			6.5 Miles	
Sale Price	\$ 645,0	000	\$ 650,000)	\$	740,000)	\$ 510,00
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ 190.60 so	q. ft. \$ 235.76 sq. ft.		\$ 227.97	7 sq. ft.		\$ 277.48 sq. ft.	
Data Source(s)		MLS/Files		Lender/II	nsp.		MLS/Files	
Verification Source(s)								
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-)\$ Adjustment	DESCRI	PTION	+(-)\$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-)\$ Adjustment
Sale or Financing		CL 05/11/07		CL 12/11	/07		CL 09/13/07	
Concessions		Conv.		Conv.			Conv.	
Date of Sale/Time		04/04/2007		09/18/20	07		08/10/2007	
Location	Good	Good		Good			Good	
Leasehold/Fee Simple	Fee Simple	Fee Simple		Fee Simp	ole		Fee Simple	
Site	40 Ac.	14.69 Ac.		3.15 Ac.			1.71 Ac.	+50,00
View	Avg - Nbhd	Mtns.		Lake Fro	nt		Small Lake	
Design (Style)	2 Story/Bsm	2 Story/Bsm		Multi Le			2 Story/Bsm	
Quality of Construction	Good	Superior	-25.000	Inferior			Inferior	+25,00
Actual Age	4 Eff.	2 Eff.	-11,000				3 Eff.	+4,60
Condition	Good	Good	,,	Good			Good	.,
Above Grade		aths Total Bdrms. Bat	hs	Total Bdrn	ns. Baths		Total Bdrms. Baths	6
Room Count		.50 10 5 3.0				+10,000		
Gross Living Area		q. ft. 2,757 sq.	· · · · · ·			+8,300		
Basement & Finished	1,734	904	+49,800		54. 16.	+109,000		+10,700
Rooms Below Grade	Fin. 4-1-1	Fin. 3-1-1		None		107,000	Fin. 3-2-1	10,70
Functional Utility	Good	Good		Good			Good	
Heating/Cooling	Radiant Floor			Radiant I	Floor		RadFlr/HW	
Energy Efficient Items	Good	Good		Good	1001		Good	
Garage/Carport	1059,3GA	560,2BI	+20.000	756,2GA			672,2GA	+15,50
Porch/Patio/Deck	CvdPch,Dck+			Cbn,Shd			LgDck,Cbn+	-25,00
Appl:	RO,MW,DW			RTP,OV		-75,000	RO,FH,DW	-23,00
FP:	FP	FP		FP	, • • • •		WdSt	
11.	1 1	<u> </u>		11		<u> </u>	wubi	
Net Adjustment (Total)		X + -	\$ 68,900) X +	_	\$ 89,400) X + -	\$ 188,60
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 10.6		Net Adj. 12		<u>→ 07,400</u>	Net Adj. 36.98039	
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 24.75		Gross Adj.		\$ \$70400	Gross Adj. 36.98039	
ITEM		SUBJECT	COMPARABLE			<u>♥ 829400</u> ARABLE SALE		_E SALE #6
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	N/A	000001	N/A	71	N/A	UNLL ONLL	N/A	
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Data Source(s)	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Effective Date of Data Sour			N/A		N/A		N/A	
Comment on Sales Compari		See Additional Co			1 V /1 L		1 v /2 v	
			minents					

File # 08131

	N/A			
	۱ <u> </u>			
D				
D				
1				
T	·			
C				
N				
A				
L				
C				
0				
N				
N				
E				
N				
Т				
s				
		- (not required by Fannia Mac)		
		E (not required by Fannie Mae)		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calculate	ations.		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods)	ations. s for estimating site value)		
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of	ations. s for estimating site value)	eral 1	market
C	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of a review of MLS records of the sale o	ations. s for estimating site value)	eral 1	market
O	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area.	ations. s for estimating site value)	eral 1	market
C S	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area.	ations. s for estimating site value)		
O	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area.	ations. s for estimating site value)		market 100,000
C S	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area.	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE	=\$	100,000
C S T A	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Cuality ratios from cost costing C o od	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00	=\$	100,000 439,920
C S T	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00	=\$	100,000 439,920 147,390
C S T A	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio	=\$	100,000 439,920
C S T A P	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.)	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio	=\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390
C S T A P	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00	=\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540
C S T A P P R	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New	=\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified area life method at 19(per year	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Puerciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements.	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ (100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934)
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Puerciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements.	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(() =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Functional External Depreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(() =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416
OST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciated Cost of Improvements 'As-is' Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(() =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(() =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciated Cost of Improvements 'As-is' Value of Site Improvements Indicated Value By Cost Approach	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(() =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A × Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(() =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A × Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(() =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A × Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM)	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(() =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of Area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost serviceGood Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION La the developer/huilder in central of the Hamesurana' Acception (HOA)2	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$(() =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost serviceGood Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years N/A × Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)?	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @ \$ Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @ \$ Base W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Gorage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Base Physical Functional External Depreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements.	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH J-2002W PUD -	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of phases Total number of units Total number of phases Total number of units	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @ \$ Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @ \$ Barge/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Generge/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Generge/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Generge/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Bepreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements. 'As-is' Value of Site Improvements. 'As-is' Value of Site Improvements. 'Indicated Value By Cost Approach. Indicated Value By Cost Approach. Indicated Value by Income Approach M/A = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach V/A = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Total number of units sold Total number of units sold	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A x Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Barge/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Complexity External Depreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH J-2002W PUD -	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A x Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE. Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @ \$ Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @ \$ Barge/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Generge/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Generge/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Generge/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @ \$ Bepreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements. 'As-is' Value of Site Improvements. 'As-is' Value of Site Improvements. 'Indicated Value By Cost Approach. Indicated Value By Cost Approach. Indicated Value by Income Approach M/A = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach V/A = \$ Indicated Value by Income Approach Total number of units sold Total number of units sold	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH -ZCOZW PJD -ZFO	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A × Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units rented	ations. s for estimating site value) 'vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 Sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Barge/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Generge/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Barge/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Generge/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Barge/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Depreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH J-ZOOZW PJD -ZFOR	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A × Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No E Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No E No E	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH -ZCOZW PJD -ZFO	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A × Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No E Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No E No E	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Dwelling 3,384 Sq. Ft. @ \$ BSMT 1,734 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Barge/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Garage/Carport 1,059 Sq. Ft. @ \$ Cess Physical Functional External Depreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH J-ZOOZW PJD -ZFOR	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH J-ZCOZW PUD IZFORZ	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service GOOd Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age.	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH J-ZCOZW PUD IZFORZA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost serviceGood Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NINCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A × Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Estimated following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units rented Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No E Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yee	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE Dwelling 3,384 sq. Ft. @\$ 130.00 BSMT 1,734 sq. Ft. @\$ 85.00 W&S,CvdPch,Dck,Patio Garage/Carport 1,059 sq. Ft. @\$ 60.00 Total Estimate of Cost-New Less Physical Pupreciation 26,934 Depreciated Cost of Improvements	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
OST APPROACH J-ZCOZW PUD IZFORZA	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A x Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Are the common elements leased to or by the Homeowners' Association? Yes	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes Are the units, common elements, and recreation	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost serviceGood Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years NCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A x Gross Rent Multiplier Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) PROJECT INFORMATION Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units rented Total number of units Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project created by the conversion of existing building(s) into a PUD? Yes Does the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes No C Are the units, common elements, and recreation facilities complete? Yes	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500
	Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and calcula Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods Site value concluded based on a review of MLS records of area. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR X REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data Marshall and Swift Cost Handbook Quality rating from cost service Good Effective date of cost data 03/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) See attached building sketch for dimensions and area calculations. Physical depreciation is calculated on a straight line basis using the modified age-life method at 1% per year based on estimated effective age. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 46 - 51 Years INCOME APPROACH TO VALUE Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A Summary of Income Approach (including support for market rent and GRM) Provide the following information for PUDs ONLY if the developer/builder is in con Legal name of project N/A Total number of units rented Total number of units for sale Was the project contain any multi-dwelling units? Yes Are the units, common elements, and recreation	ations. s for estimating site value) vacant lot sales and listings in the subjects gene OPINION OF SITE VALUE	=\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$ =\$	100,000 439,920 147,390 22,500 63,540 673,350 26,934) 646,416 7,500

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.

2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.

3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.

5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.

2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.

3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property, any offering for sale of the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.

7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.

8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.

9. I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.

10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.

11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.

12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.

13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions furnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.

14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.

15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.

16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.

17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, marital status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.

18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).

19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.

20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).

22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.

23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.

24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.

3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.

4. This appraisal report complies with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.

5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

APPRAISER

Signaturehht Chista	Signature
Name John F. Cristiano	Name
Company Name Peninsula Appraisal Group	Company Name
Company Address P. O. Box 1870	Company Address
Soldotna, AK 99669	
Telephone Number	Telephone Number
Email Address	Email Address
Date of Signature and Report <u>May 19, 2008</u>	Date of Signature
Effective Date of Appraisal 04/09/2008	State Certification #
State Certification # 55	or State License #
or State License #	State
or Other	Expiration Date of Certification or License
State AK	
Expiration Date of Certification or License $06/30/09$	SUBJECT PROPERTY
ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED	Did not inspect subject property
47480 Kristina Way	Did inspect exterior of subject property from street
Kenai, AK 99635	Date of Inspection
APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 675000	Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property
LENDER/CLIENT	Date of Inspection
Name	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Name Central Peninsula General Hospital, Inc	COMPARABLE SALES
Company Address 250 Hospital Place	Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Soldotna, AK 99669	Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street
Email Address	Date of Inspection

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED)

		00131
	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Borrower or Owner	Central Peninsula General Hospital	
Property Address	47480 Kristina Way	
City Kenai	County Kenai Peninsula Bor. State AK Zip Co	de 99635
Lender or Client	Central Peninsula General Hospital Inc	

08131

File No.

NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES

The neighborhood is located midway between Kenai and Soldotna, to the east of Kalifonsky Beach Road and along the south side of the Kenai River, off Ciechanski Road.

NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION

The neighborhood has developed with average to good quality SFR's generally in the mid to lower price ranges on non-amenity 1 Ac. +/- lots and newer, higher priced custom homes in the \$500,000+ price range on Kenai River front or view lots. There are various commercial uses along Kalifonski Beach Road, several 2 to 4 family dwellings, and 3 operating gravel pits in the general area also. There is a growing commercial district with gas stations, convenience shopping, retail center, warehousing, movie theater, motel with restaurant and bar, several churches, etc... The K-Beach Elementary school and Kenai Peninsula Community College are 3 miles to the south along East Poppy Lane while the Jr. and Sr. high schools are in Kenai 4 miles to the northwest.

MARKET CONDITIONS

MLS statistics (04/10/2008) for sales in the past 12 months for the K-Beach area indicate a total of 83 sales (\$85,000 - \$648,000) with an average 68 days on market (DOM), average sale price @ \$206,343 with Sale/List price ratio @ 98.6 %. Currently there are 46 Active/Pending Listings (\$124,900 - \$650,000) with 103 DOM and average list price @ \$289,533.

SITE CONDITIONS AND/OR EXTERNAL FACTORS

Site is a 40 Ac. State of Alaska agricultural preference parcel. Under current land use provisions the site can be developed with one single family dwelling. No ongoing agricultural use such as crop land, cultivation, raising animals, etc... is required.

ADDITIONAL FEATURES

Cedar lap siding, covered front entry and rear deck, architectural grade roof shingles, vaulted ceilings, oversized windows, radiant floor heat, tile flooring, HRV air exchange system, bull nosed sheetrock corners, good quality appliances, upgrades to the electrical and plumbing systems, hardwood cabinets, granite counter tops, breakfast bar, pantry, raised panel doors, gas fireplace, master bedroom suite with walk in closet, double lav, finished 3 car garage, spa room with hot tub, sunken patio, etc.

SALES COMPARISON APPROACH

Comps include 2007/08 sales of higher priced homes in the local market area. Site adjustments reflect value differences due to variance in location, size and view amenity.

Other adjustments are made for differences in effective age at 1%/yr. based on improvement value, \$5,000 per bath, \$2,500 per half bath, \$60/SF finished living areas (upper and lower levels), quality adjustment to 4 of the comps which are inferior/superior to the subject relative to construction methods/materials, trim & finish, and extras and custom features, and lump sum adjustments based on estimated contributory values for other features. After adjustments the comps indicate a range in value from \$625,500 to \$829,400 with a market value for the subject concluded between \$650,000 and \$700,000 @ \$675,000 with support from the cost approach.

RECONCILIATION

The sales comparison approach is felt to be the best indicator of value as it reflects the typical and current buyers reactions to various characteristics of a single family residence in today's market. The cost approach is considered along with the market approach as new construction of single family homes continues and buyers are willing to pay cost. The income approach is not used due to the lack of supportive data. Single family homes are not typically purchased as rental or income producing properties in this area.

CONDITIONS OF APPRAISAL

The appraiser has made a brief visual inspection of the dwelling but is not qualified to determine the adequacy of the structural, electrical, plumbing, or other systems. The client, buyer, seller, or other interested parties are urged to employ the services of a qualified Building Inspector, contractor, or engineer to determine the adequacy of these items if so desired. The appraisal assumes, unless otherwise noted, that the construction meets minimum codes and property standards.

In this appraisal assignment, the existence of potentially hazardous material and/or the existence of toxic waste, may or may not be present on the property, was not observed by me; nor do I have any knowledge of the existence of such materials on or in the property. The appraiser, however, is not qualified to detect such substances. The existence of potentially hazardous waste material may have an effect on the value of the property. The client is urged to retain an expert in this field, if desired.

See attached Certification and Statement of Limiting Conditions.

This appraisal should not be used or relied on for insurance purposes. Nothing set forth in the appraisal should be relied upon for the purpose of determining the amount and/or type of insurance coverage to be placed on the subject property. The appraiser assumes no liability for and does not guarantee that any

	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Page 2		
Borrower or Owner Central Peninsula General	•		
Property Address 47480 Kristina Way	•		
City Kenai County I	Kenai Peninsula Bor. State AK	Zip Code 99	9635
Lender or Client Central Peninsula General H	Hospital, Inc		

insurable value estimate inferred from this report will result in the subject property being fully insured for any loss that may be sustained. The appraiser recommends that an insurance professional be consulted to determine the amount and/or type of insurance coverage to be placed on the subject property to adequately insure for any future loss or damages.

The appraiser has relied upon the assistance of David M. Derry, MAI of Derry & Associates, Inc to conclude the site value of the subject property.

Certification

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the reporting of a
 predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the
 value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event
 directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- This appraisal was not based on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the approval of a loan.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this report.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the requirements of the Appraisal Institute's Code of Professional Ethics and Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, which include the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- As of the date of this report I have completed the continuing education program of the Appraisal Institute.

I personally inspected the subject property legally described as SW4 SE4, Section 23, T5N, R11W, S.M., Kenai, AK.

After consideration of the available data and factors, subject to standard Assumptions and Limiting Conditions, it is my conclusion that the estimated Market Value of the fee simple estate of the site **as-if vacant** subject to a State of Alaska Agricultural Use Covenant as of May 14, 2008 is \$100,000.

6X David M. Derry, MA



Market Conditions

Historically the local economy has been natural resource based with oil and natural gas extraction, commercial/sports fishing and tourism businesses being the major influences.

Through most of the 1990's there was contraction in the oil and gas industries due to low prices, over supply and corporate reorganization/mergers. In the past 7 years these industries have experienced strong growth trends with oil prices now @ record levels. Positive growth includes BP's recently completed \$80+ Million gas to liquids pilot project and the Tesoro Refinery upgrade to produce ultra low sulfur diesel fuel. Possible large-scale projects being studied include the Northern Dynasty Pebble Creek Mine and Blue Sky Coal Gasification project.

Tempering the positive growth has been the pending closure of the Agrium Nitrogen plant. In 12/2004 it was announced that the Agrium Nitrogen plant (formerly Unocal Chemicals) would likely close beginning November 2005 due to a lack of available gas supplies. The closure would result in the loss of 230 relatively high paying jobs and a substantial reduction in property tax revenues. In July 2005, after negotiating a favorable 1 year contract for gas supplies, it was announced that after downsizing its work force by 50 employees since 12/2004, the plant would remain open until November 2006. In early October of 2007 it was announced that Agrium would close indefinitely due to a lack of adequate gas supply with a layoff of 140 employees and only a small maintenance crew remaining. Initial impacts to the local economy are estimated to include a loss of \$16 million in direct salaries and a reduction in tax assessed value of the plant from \$49 million to \$20 million.

Commercial fishing has experienced wide swings in the past 10 years due to fluctuations in price and fish populations. These trends will likely continue as management decisions for the allocation of the resource between sports and commercial fisherman continue to reduce commercial fishing times. The seasonal sport fishing and tourism businesses appear to have experienced mostly stable to increasing trends in the past 10 years.

Over the past 15 years development of commercial retail/service businesses has been steady with the new construction of a Carrs grocery, K-Mart and Fred Meyer stores, Mapco and 7-11 Tesoro gas stations with convenience shopping, Midas Muffler shop, Burger King, Block Buster Video, Arby's, Grand Auto Parts and Shucks Auto Parts stores, Alaska USA Federal Credit Union branch, laundromat and veterinary clinic, car wash, and U-Haul storage facility, Fred Myer Gas Station, NAPA Auto parts store, Aspen Hotels in Kenai and Soldotna, Alaska Industrial Hardware, additional medical and professional office space, a large hospital expansion, and proposed Lowe's Hardware and Wal-Mart in Kenai.

The residential real estate market has experienced mostly stable to increasing trends over the past 10 years. Fueled by low interest rates, new construction in both the entry level market and of higher end custom homes has been active and there has been mostly stable to increasing market trends for resale of existing homes. The following statistics are reported by the Alaska Multiple Listing Service for Single Family Residential sales on the central Kenai Peninsula over the past 10 years.

Year	# Sold	Avg List \$	% List \$ Change	Avg Sale \$	% Sold \$ Change	Avg DOM	Sale/List \$ Ratio
1997	211	\$118,134	N/A	\$114,312	N/A	157	0.968
1998	248	126,799	6.83	123,048	7.10	124	0.970
1999	249	123,878	-2.36	120,052	-2.50	144	0.969
2000	264	120,520	-2.79	115,370	-4.06	153	0.957
2001	335	132,613	9.12	126,597	8.87	149	0.955
2002	271	145,127	8.62	137,969	8.24	151	0.951
2003	307	150,720	3.71	145,063	4.89	152	0.962
2004	377	154,913	2.71	148,319	2.20	136	0.957
2005	478	163,151	5.05	158,677	6.53	115	0.973
2006	504	182,149	10.43	178,757	11.23	88	0.981

As of 11/26/2007 MLS records reflect 408 closed sales with an average list price @ \$197,779, average sales price @ \$193,168, Sale/List price ratio @ 97.7 % and Avg DOM @ 88.

Economic factors including unemployment rates, retail sales, population growth, construction permitting, etc... reflect a stable to increasing economy in the local area. For more detailed information please review the document – "Kenai Peninsula Borough Situations & Prospects Economic Trends for Year Ending December 31, 2006"

@ http://www.borough.kenai.ak.us/Econ/2006/S&P2006/2006S&P.pdf

While the closure of the Agrium Nitrogen plant will most certainly have an impact to the local economy it is tempered by positive growth in the oil and gas industries as a whole and stable to increasing commercial/sport fishing, and tourism industries. The residential and commercial real estate markets have experienced mostly steady upward trends in the past 10 years but are anticipated to slow somewhat due to normal market tendencies and external forces including increasing interest rates, tightened credit policies (increased foreclosure rates in lower 48 housing markets), and significant increases in construction costs.

					File No. 08131	
ver or Owner Central Pen	nsula General Hos	SKETCH A	DDENDUR	M		
ty Address 47480 Krist	ina Way	•			00.625	
Kenai r or Client Central Peni	County Kena County Kena County Kena	ai Peninsula Bor. pital, Inc	State AK	<u> </u>	Zip Code 99635	
5 31. 30' Garage	16' MBR CvdDck 8' 5' Bath Hot Tub	Second Floor	Walk	Basement	amRm	
SUMMARY S	Q FT AREA PE	ERIMETER First FI		CALCULATIO	N DETAILS	
First Floor Second Floor	2259 1125 2384	234 24. 165 25.	0 X 16.0 = 5 X 4.0 =	384.0 102.0		
Total Basement	3384	44. 42.	0 X 18.0 = 0 X 12.0 = 0 X 4.0 =	630.0 528.0 168.0		
Basement Garage/Carport	1734	30.	0 X 6.5 = 0 X 5.5 = 0 X 8.0 =	234.0 165.0 48.0		
Attached Garage	1059	135 Second	Total	2259.0		
		16. 15.	5 X 50.5 = 5 X 16.5 =	833.2 255.7		
			5 X 8.0 = Total	36.0 1124.9		
			0 X 34.0 =	1190.0		
		7.	0 X 12.0 = 0 X 16.0 = 0 X 12.0 =	108.0 112.0 276.0		
		6.) X 8.0 = Total	48.0 1734.0		
		Attache	ed Garage	882.0		
		31.	5 X 28.0 =			
		6.	0 X 21.5 = 0 X 2.0 =	129.0 48.0		
Peninsula Appraisal G	oup	6.) X 21.5 =	129.0	John F. Cristiano	

Peninsula Appraisal Group











